CHAPTER 2

The Crucial Role of Assessment and Evaluation in Science and Mathematics Education

Prof. John Tyavbee Ajai[1] & Suzan Ene Umoru (PhD)[2]
[1,2]Department of Science Education, Taraba State University, Jalingo

Introduction

In the rapidly evolving world of the 21st century, a strong foundation in science and mathematics education has become more critical than ever. These disciplines are not just isolated subjects, but fundamental tools for understanding the world around us, solving complex problems, and driving innovation. Scientific discoveries and mathematical frameworks underpin technological advancements that shape our daily lives.

Science education lays the groundwork for comprehending the natural world and the scientific method. It fosters a spirit of curiosity and exploration, essential for scientific breakthroughs (National Academies Press, 2011). Mathematics, often referred to as the "language of science," provides the tools to quantify these phenomena, enabling precise calculations, modelling, and data analysis – all crucial for designing and building new technologies (National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, 2014). Science and mathematics courses are breeding grounds for tackling complex problems. Students learn to approach challenges systematically, analyze data critically, and think creatively to arrive at solutions. These transferable skills are invaluable in fields like engineering, computer science, and other technology-driven sectors (Honeyman & Moscovitch, 2018). From artificial intelligence and robotics to medical breakthroughs and advancements in renewable energy, a strong understanding of STEM fields allows individuals to contribute to and benefit from this continuous progress (Bybee, 1997).

The rise of AI hinges on mathematics, particularly the application of machine learning algorithms and robust data analysis. Scientists with a strong grasp of biology and cognitive science contribute to the development of AI systems that mimic human intelligence (Bostrom, 2014). Innovations in solar, wind, and geothermal energy require engineers with a deep understanding of physics and mathematics to design efficient energy capture and conversion systems (Bybee, 1997). The field of biotechnology, encompassing genetic engineering and medical advancements, thrives on a foundation in biology, chemistry, and mathematics to model complex biological processes and develop new life-saving technologies (National Academies Press, 2016).

Learning science and mathematics cultivates the ability to question assumptions, analyze evidence, and draw logical conclusions. This critical thinking is essential for evaluating the feasibility of new technologies, identifying potential risks and benefits, and ensuring ethical considerations are addressed throughout the development process (OECD, 2018). Science and mathematics education cultivate essential critical thinking skills. Students learn to analyze data, construct arguments based on evidence, and approach problems from multiple perspectives

(National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, 2000). These skills are crucial for success in STEM careers and highly valued in a wide range of professions and personal endeavours.

The 21st-century job market demands a diverse and adaptable workforce. Jobs in STEM fields are projected to grow faster than average, offering exciting career opportunities (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2023). A strong foundation in science and mathematics equips individuals with the necessary skills and knowledge to compete in a globalised economy.

Science and mathematics are not just about memorizing facts. These disciplines encourage creativity and innovation by requiring students to experiment, explore new ideas, and find solutions to complex problems (National Research Council, 2012). This fosters a sense of curiosity and a willingness to explore the unknown, qualities essential for driving progress in all fields.

Assessment and Evaluation in Science and Mathematics

Assessment and evaluation are not mere add-ons in science and mathematics education; they are the cornerstones of effective learning. These processes provide educators with powerful tools to measure student achievement, guide instruction, and foster the development of critical skills. This is particularly crucial in science and mathematics, where the curriculum goes beyond rote memorization and emphasizes the application of knowledge, problem-solving, and analytical thinking (National Research Council, 2001).

In education, the terms "assessment" and "evaluation" are frequently used interchangeably. However, a crucial distinction exists between them, and understanding this difference is paramount for educators. Assessment focuses on gathering information about student learning, while evaluation takes that information further and uses it to judge the effectiveness of the entire teaching and learning system.

Assessment goes beyond a single test. As Wiggins and McTighe (2005) explain, it is an ongoing process of gathering evidence to understand student learning. This evidence, as Popham (2008) suggests, involves ongoing checks for understanding, allowing educators to see what students know, conceptually understand, and can do (Wiggins & McTighe, 2005). The National Center for Education Statistics (2020) aligns with this view, defining assessment as the process of collecting information to measure learning and skill development. Educators utilize a rich toolbox of assessment methods to gain a multifaceted picture of student learning. These tools go beyond simply measuring content knowledge and delve into critical thinking, problem-solving, and communication skills. While teachers use various assessment practices to gather information about student understanding, evaluation takes this a step further by using that data to judge the effectiveness of the curriculum and teaching strategies (Guskey, 2000; National Research Council, 2001).

Though assessment and evaluation are frequently thrown around as if they mean the same thing, there is a key difference between the two. Daniel Stufflebeam's (2000) work on evaluation models sheds light on this difference. He positions evaluation as encompassing assessment data but goes a step further by judging the effectiveness of the entire teaching and learning system. This emphasis on judgment aligns well with the definition offered by Michael Scriven (1967), who describes evaluation as "the determination of the merit, worth, and significance of something" (p. 41). Here, the focus shifts beyond simply collecting data through assessment, but towards using that data to make judgments about the value and effectiveness of

educational programs. Building on this concept, Thomas Guskey (2000) defines evaluation as the process of judging the worth or value of something, based on the information collected through assessment.

Evaluation explores broader questions that shape educational practices. These questions bother on:

a. **Program Impact:** Do the curriculum materials and teaching methods effectively promote a deep understanding of science and mathematics? This helps us refine our approach for better student learning.

b. **Policy Considerations:** Does student data suggest areas for reform in the science or mathematics curriculum? Evaluation informs resource allocation and policy changes to strengthen science and mathematics education across the district.

c. **Teacher Development:** Based on evaluation results, can we identify areas where teachers need additional support or professional development to improve student outcomes?

The table below summarizes the key differences between assessment and evaluation:

Feature	Assessment	Evaluation
Focus	Gathering information about student learning	Judging the effectiveness of the entire teaching and learning system
Purpose	Improve instruction, diagnose student needs, provide feedback	Inform policy decisions, program improvement, teacher professional development
Timing	Ongoing throughout instruction	May occur at the end of a unit, course, or program
Examples	Quizzes, observations, performance tasks, self-reflections	Program reviews, cost-benefit analysis of curriculum materials, impact studies of instructional strategies

The Evolution of Assessment and Evaluation in Education

Assessment and evaluation have long been recognized as essential pillars of effective education, but the way they are used has evolved significantly. Traditionally, education emphasized summative assessments, such as standardized tests administered at the end of a unit or course (Popham, 2008). These tests, while providing a snapshot of student achievement, were criticized for their limited scope (National Research Council, 2001). Critics argued that these one-time measures failed to capture the intricacies of student learning and identify areas where students struggled, hindering effective instructional decisions and personalized support.

In response, the 1990s saw a paradigm shift towards a more comprehensive assessment approach (National Academies Press, 2011). This shift incorporated formative assessments alongside summative assessments. Formative assessments provide ongoing feedback throughout the learning process. This allows educators to identify learning gaps early on and adapt their teaching to address the specific needs of each student. This evolution reflects a growing

understanding of how students learn and the importance of tailoring instruction to individual differences.

The Early Days- Pre-20th Century (Summative Assessments)

Formal assessment practices have a long history, with evidence of examinations used for selection purposes dating back to ancient civilizations, exemplified by the Chinese imperial examination system (Hansen, 2000). This system, believed to be one of the earliest and longest-lasting civil service examinations, which tested not only knowledge but also literary skills and proficiency in Confucian classics, has roots in the Sui Dynasty (581-618 CE) (Fairbank, 1994). Archaeological evidence from ancient Egypt and Mesopotamia also suggests a form of assessment for scribes (Robinson, 2008). These scribes underwent rigorous training and testing to demonstrate their proficiency before entering the workforce. Historically, assessments often took the form of summative evaluations at the end of a learning period (e.g., essays, oral exams, standardized tests). While these methods played a vital role in selecting qualified individuals, they frequently emphasized rote memorization of content over fostering deeper understanding or applying knowledge (Phillips, 2000). This focus on memorization stands in contrast to many modern assessment practices that prioritize a more holistic evaluation of learning. Here are some of the limitations of these assessment practices.

Types of Summative Assessments:

a. **Multiple-choice tests:** These are popular because they are easy to score and administer. Students choose the best answer from a set of options.

b. **Short answer questions:** These require students to provide concise answers that demonstrate their understanding of key concepts.

c. **True/False questions:** These test basic knowledge but can be vulnerable to guessing.

d. **Written assignments:** Essays, lab reports, or problem sets allow students to explain their reasoning and demonstrate their application of knowledge.

e. **Oral exams:** Less common, these can assess a student's communication skills and in-depth understanding.

Limitations of Summative Assessments

1. **Emphasis on Memorization:** Early assessments, before the 20th century, often emphasized rote memorization of facts, neglecting the assessment of deeper understanding or the ability to apply knowledge. This limited the ability to assess true learning and critical thinking skills.

2. **Limited Feedback:** Traditional assessments were often characterized by limited feedback. These assessments, typically occurring at the end of learning periods, offered students little to no opportunity for feedback or course correction throughout the learning process (Stiggins, 2005). As a result, students might receive a final grade without any insights into their strengths, weaknesses, or areas needing improvement.

3. **High-Stakes Pressure:** Because traditional assessments were summative, students often felt immense pressure to perform well. This high-stakes environment could lead to anxiety and a focus on test-taking strategies, potentially hindering genuine learning (Crooks, 2001)

4. **Subjectivity and Limited Consistency:** Traditional assessments, particularly oral examinations and essay grading were susceptible to subjectivity due to variations in teacher interpretation and scoring rubrics (Shavelson et al., 1976) . This inconsistency could lead to unfair evaluations and unreliable comparisons of student achievement across different teachers or classrooms.

5. **Limited Learning Opportunities:** The emphasis on a single, high-stakes assessment can create anxiety and discourage students from taking risks or exploring different learning strategies (Butler, 1988).

6. **Limited scope:** Many traditional summative assessments focus on factual recall and may not capture a student's ability to apply knowledge or skills in real-world contexts. (Wiggins & McTighe, 2005).

7. **Limited accessibility:** Formal assessments were often reserved for elite students in formal education settings, neglecting apprenticeships, vocational training, and other informal learning methods (Spring, 2000; Lave & Wenger, 1991, World Bank, 2018)

The Rise of Formative Assessment - 20th Century

In the 20th century, education primarily relied on summative assessments, like exams, to measure student learning at the end of a unit or course. However, the 1960s saw the introduction of formative assessment by Michael Scriven (Scriven, 1967). This concept gained traction as educators recognized the value of ongoing checks for understanding. Pioneering work by Benjamin Bloom on mastery learning further emphasized the importance of formative assessment for ensuring all students achieve learning goals (Bloom, 1968). Formative assessments provide real-time feedback to teachers and students, allowing teachers to adjust their instruction based on student needs and students to identify areas for improvement (Black & Wiliam, 1998a). In other words, formative assessment is a range of formal and informal assessment procedures conducted by teachers during the learning process to modify teaching and learning activities to improve student attainment

The core principles of formal assessment are:

a. **Focus on Improvement:** Formative assessments aim to identify learning gaps and areas for improvement early on. This allows teachers to adjust their teaching and provide targeted support to struggling students.
b. **Variety of Assessment Tools:** Formative assessments go beyond traditional tests and quizzes. They encompass classroom observations, self-evaluations, peer reviews, exit tickets, questioning techniques, and analyzing student work products.
c. **Real-Time Feedback:** Effective formative assessment provides immediate or timely feedback to both teachers and students. This feedback informs instructional decisions and allows students to adjust their learning strategies.

d. **Continuous Process:** Formative assessment happens throughout the learning process, not just at specific intervals

e. **Emphasis on Student Engagement:** Formative assessment can motivate and engage students by encouraging self-reflection, promoting ownership of learning, and offering opportunities to track their progress.

Impact and Benefits of Formative Assessment:

a. **Improved Learning Outcomes:** Studies have shown a positive correlation between effective formative assessment and higher student achievement.

b. **More Responsive Instruction:** By using formative assessment data, teachers can tailor their instruction to meet the specific needs of their students, leading to a more differentiated and effective learning environment. Teachers gain valuable insights into student understanding, allowing them to tailor their teaching strategies to meet individual needs.

c. **Empowered Learners:** Formative assessment promotes student ownership of learning by encouraging self-reflection and fostering a growth mindset. Students become more aware of their strengths and weaknesses, enabling them to participate in their learning journey actively.

d. **Increased Student Engagement:** Regular feedback and opportunities for improvement can motivate students and enhance their participation in the learning process.

Challenges And Ongoing Discussions

Despite the widespread acceptance of formative assessment, some challenges and discussions remain:

a. **Validity and Reliability:** Concerns exist regarding the validity and reliability of certain formative assessment methods, particularly those reliant on teacher judgment. Establishing clear criteria and rubrics becomes crucial for ensuring consistent and meaningful feedback.

b. **Teacher Training and Support:** Effective implementation of formative assessment requires ongoing professional development for teachers. Educators need training on various assessment tools, data analysis strategies, and effective feedback techniques.

c. **Finding the Balance:** Integrating formative assessment into existing curriculum can be challenging for teachers. It's important to find a balance between formative assessments and content coverage, ensuring that assessment practices enhance learning rather than become an additional burden.

d. **Integration with Curriculum:** Formative assessment needs to be seamlessly integrated with curriculum design and learning objectives.

e. **Balance with Accountability:** While formative assessment focuses on improvement, it is important to maintain a balance with summative assessments used for accountability purposes.

Multifaceted Approach - Late 20th & Early 21st Century

The late 20th and early 21st centuries witnessed a paradigm shift in science and mathematics assessment. While foundational knowledge remains a cornerstone, educators now recognize the value of a multi-faceted approach that assesses a broader spectrum of skills and competencies. This shift represents a move away from a singular focus on content towards a holistic evaluation that encompasses critical thinking, problem-solving, and the ability to apply knowledge in real-world contexts. This broader perspective aims to provide a more complete picture of student learning and equip them with the essential tools to thrive in these ever-evolving fields.

Rationale for a Multifaceted Approach:

a. **Deeper Understanding:** Science and mathematics are not just about memorizing facts and formulas. A multifaceted approach allows us to assess a student's ability to apply their knowledge, analyze data, solve problems, and think critically

b. **21st Century Skills:** The ability to think critically, analyze information, and communicate effectively are essential for success in today's world. Multifaceted assessments ensure students are developing these skills alongside content knowledge.

c. **Identifying Strengths and Weaknesses:** A single assessment format might not capture a student's full potential. A multifaceted approach provides a more comprehensive picture of a student's strengths and weaknesses, allowing for targeted instruction.

Components of a Multifaceted Approach:

a. **Content Knowledge:** This remains a crucial element, ensuring students grasp fundamental concepts and scientific principles. Standardized tests and written exams can still be valuable tools to assess content knowledge and basic skills.

b. **Process Skills:** Beyond rote memorization, the ability to **investigate, analyze, problem-solve, and use scientific reasoning** becomes paramount.

c. **Critical Thinking and Problem-Solving:** Assessments should evaluate students' ability to critically analyze situations, draw connections between concepts, and apply their knowledge to solve problems. Tasks that require students to apply their knowledge to solve real-world problems, conduct experiments, or design projects can provide valuable insight into understanding and critical thinking skills.

d. **Communication Skills:** Effective science and mathematics education emphasizes clear and concise communication of ideas through writing, presentations, and explanations.

e. **Formative Assessments:** Ongoing checks for understanding throughout a unit or course allow teachers to adjust instruction and provide students with timely feedback.

f. **Self-Assessment:** Encouraging students to reflect on their learning process, identify their strengths and weaknesses, and set goals for improvement fosters metacognition and ownership of learning.

Benefits of a Multifaceted Approach:

a. **Deeper Understanding:** It provides a more holistic picture of student learning, revealing their strengths and weaknesses across various skill sets.

b. **Improved Learning:** By assessing process skills, critical thinking, and communication, educators can tailor instruction to address these areas and promote a deeper understanding of content.

c. **Motivation and Engagement:** Assessment that goes beyond rote memorization can be more engaging for students and foster a love for science and mathematics

d. **Preparation for the Future:** Developing the skills mentioned above equips students to be successful in a world that demands critical thinking, problem-solving, and effective communication across various fields.

e. **Differentiation:** This approach allows teachers to tailor assessments to meet the individual needs and learning styles of their students

Implementing a Multifaceted Approach:

There are various tools and strategies educators can utilize to implement a multifaceted approach in science and mathematics classrooms. These include:

a. **Performance-based assessments:** Projects, experiments, presentations, and simulations allow students to demonstrate their understanding through the application of knowledge and skills.

b. **Open-ended questions:** Moving beyond simple recall, these questions encourage students to analyze, explain, and justify their reasoning.

c. **Self-assessment and peer review:** Developing students' metacognitive skills by having them reflect on their learning and providing feedback to peers strengthen their understanding and communication.

Developing a multifaceted assessment system requires careful planning and collaboration among teachers. Resources available online and through professional development organizations can help educators learn about creating effective assessments for science and mathematics classrooms.

Evolving Role of Evaluation - Present - Future)

The future of assessment and evaluation in science and mathematics education is likely to see a continued emphasis on a multifaceted approach, with exciting new possibilities emerging from technology and a focus on deeper learning. Here are some potential trends and areas to consider:

Technology-Enhanced Assessments:

a. **Adaptive Assessments:** Computer-based assessments that adjust difficulty based on student responses, allowing for personalized learning and targeted feedback (National Center for Education Statistics, 2020) [1

b. **Simulations and Virtual Labs:** Interactive simulations and virtual environments can provide safe and engaging opportunities for students to apply scientific concepts and solve problems (National Research Council, 2015) [2]. Virtual labs and interactive simulations can allow students to apply their knowledge and solve problems in realistic environments

c. **Data Analytics and Learning Progressions:** Advanced data analysis tools can identify learning gaps, track student progress over time, and inform individualized instruction (Heritage, 2017) [3].

Focus on Authenticity:

a. **Real-World Applications:** Moving beyond rote memorization, assessments will increasingly focus on students' ability to apply their knowledge and skills to solve real-world problems. This might involve simulations, case studies, or project-based learning that mirrors real-world scenarios faced by scientists and mathematicians.

b. **Interdisciplinary Connections:** Assessments may integrate science and mathematics with other subjects, encouraging students to see the interconnectedness of knowledge and prepare them for complex challenges.

Focus on Deeper Learning:

a. **Assessments that Promote Scientific Reasoning:** Tasks that require students to design experiments, analyze data, and construct explanations will become increasingly important.

b. **Integration with Real-World Applications:** Assessments that allow students to connect their scientific knowledge to real-world problems and challenges will be more prevalent.

c. **Assessment of Collaboration and Communication Skills:** The ability to work effectively with others and communicate scientific findings is crucial, so assessments that evaluate these skills will gain traction.

Personalized Learning and Self-Assessment:

a. **Learner-Centered Assessments:** Students might play a more active role in choosing and reflecting on assessment tasks, fostering ownership of their learning.

b. **Self-Assessment Tools:** Technology-based tools can help students track their progress, set learning goals, and receive personalized feedback.

c. **Peer Assessment and Collaboration:** Students can learn from each other through peer assessment activities that promote collaboration and communication skills.

d. **Portfolio Assessments:** E-portfolios could allow students to curate evidence of their learning journey, showcasing their progress and achievements over time.

Challenges and Considerations:

a. **Equity and Accessibility:** Ensuring all students have access to technology and fostering culturally responsive assessment practices will be crucial.

b. **Teacher Training and Support:** Educators will need ongoing training and support to effectively implement new assessment strategies and technologies.

c. **Standardization and Accountability:** Balancing innovative assessments with the need for standardized measures remains a challenge. Finding ways to incorporate the benefits of both approaches will be important.

Challenges in Implementing Effective Assessment Practices

Implementing effective assessment practices, especially those that move beyond traditional methods, can come with some challenges. Here are some of the common hurdles educators face:

a. **Time Constraints:** Creating new assessments, especially authentic ones, can be time-consuming. Traditional assessments, on the other hand, may be readily available and quicker to implement. Richer assessments often require more in-depth grading and feedback, adding to the teacher's workload.
b. **Teacher Training and Support:** Effectively using authentic assessments may require teachers to develop new skills in areas like designing project-based learning or facilitating student self-reflection. Teachers may need access to workshops or training programs to learn these new assessment techniques.
c. **Student Preparedness:** Students may not be accustomed to reflecting on their own learning or providing constructive feedback to peers. Authentic assessments often involve open-ended projects, which can be challenging for students who struggle with time management or independent work.
d. **Standardization and Equity:** Authentic assessments can be more subjective to score, potentially leading to inconsistencies across different teachers or grading rubrics. Some students may have advantages or disadvantages depending on the type of assessment. Teachers need to be mindful of these factors and design assessments that are fair and inclusive for all students.

Despite these challenges, the benefits of effective assessment practices outweigh the difficulties. By acknowledging these hurdles and finding ways to address them, educators can create a more comprehensive and meaningful learning experience for their students.

Conclusion

Effective assessment and evaluation are the cornerstones of successful science and mathematics education. While traditional methods have their place, the trend is moving towards a **multifaceted approach** that paints a more complete picture of student learning. This includes

assessing not just content knowledge, but also critical thinking, problem-solving, and the ability to apply knowledge in real-world contexts.

While the benefits of effective assessment are clear, implementing these changes can be challenging. Here are some key recommendations:

a. **Professional Development:** Educators need access to training and workshops to develop the necessary skills for designing and utilizing new assessment methods, particularly those that leverage technology, including project-based learning and facilitating student self-reflection.

b. **Time Management:** Strategies to manage the time required for developing and administering assessments, as well as providing in-depth feedback, are crucial.

c. **Embrace Technology but Use it Wisely:** Utilize technology for efficient and insightful feedback through online quizzes, automated grading systems, and adaptive learning platforms. However, remember that technology should complement, not replace, the human element of teaching and personalized guidance.

d. **Promote Student Ownership:** Integrate student self-assessment and peer review to foster critical thinking, communication skills, and a sense of ownership over learning. Online platforms can facilitate these interactions effectively.

Assessment is not a static process, but rather an ongoing cycle that informs and improves instruction. By embracing a multifaceted approach and addressing the challenges of implementation, educators can create a more dynamic and engaging learning experience for students. This, in turn, will lead to a deeper understanding of science and mathematics, preparing students for success in these ever-evolving fields.

References

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. ((1998b)). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 5*(1), 9-34.

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998a). Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment. *Phi Delta Kappan, 80*(2), 139–148.

Bloom, B. S. (1968). Learning for mastery. . *Evaluation Comment, 1*(2), 1–16.

Bostrom, N. (2014). *Superintelligence: Paths, dangers, strategies.* Oxford University Press.

Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2023). *Occupational Outlook Handbook.* U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Butler, R. (1988). *Enjoying learning: A strategy for motivating pupils.* London: Methuen.

Bybee, R. W. (1997). *Achieving scientific literacy: From purposes to practices .* Teachers College Press.

Bybee, R. W. (2013). *The BSCS 5E Instructional Model: An inquiry system that engages students in learning science.* Springer.

Crooks, T. (2001). *Assessment literacy: The base for educational practice.* Routledge.

Fairbank, J. K. (1994). *China: A New History.* Harvard University Press.

Guskey, T. R. (2000). *Evaluating student learning in K-8 mathematics.* Corwin Press.

Hansen, V. (2000). *The Open: China and the World. .* W. W. Norton & Company.

Honeyman, M., & Moscovitch, J. (2018). The role of mathematics in problem solving. In *In J. P. Gee (Ed.), Cambridge Handbook of Learning and Education* (pp. 423-438). Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/9781316639057.022.

Krajcik, J., Czerniak, C., & Berger, K. M. (2008). *Teaching scientific thinking in science classrooms, 2nd edition.* Pearson Merrill Prentice Hall.

Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation.* Cambridge University Press.

National Academies Press. (2011). *Assessing science learning: An update.* The National Academies Press, Washington, DC.

National Academies Press. (2011). *Knowing what students know: The science and practice of educational assessment. .* The National Academies Press.

National Academies Press. (2016). *Science engineering and medicine for a materials world.* The National Academies Press, Washington, DC.

National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). (2020). *Assessment.* U.S. Department of Education.

National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (2014). *Principles to actions: Assuring mathematical success for all.* National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.

National Research Council. (2001). *Knowing what students know: The science education standards.* National Academies Press.

National Research Council. (2001). *Knowing what students know: The science education standards: (Chapter 2: Science Content Standards at the National Level).* National Academy Press.

National Research Council. (2012). *A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas.* National Academies Press.

National Research Council. (2012). *A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas.* National Academies Press.

Phillips, D. (2000). *Assessing Student Learning in Higher Education.* Routledge.

Popham, W. J. (2008). *Formative assessment: One teacher's guide to better instruction and learning. .* Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

Robinson, D. (2008). *The Scribes of Ancient Egypt.* World History Encyclopedia. Retrieved from https://bible-history.com/jewishliterature/scribes

Ruiz-Primo, M. A., Shavelson, R. J., & Hamilton, L. S. (2006). *Principles and methods of quality research in education: Laura S. Hamilton (Ed.).* Sage Publications.

Scriven, M. S. (1967). The methodology of evaluation. *In R. E. Stake (Ed.), Curriculum evaluation*, pp. 39-83.

Shavelson, R. J., Webb, N. M., & Rowley, G. H. (1976). Generalizability theory applied to educational measurement. *Review of Educational Research, 46*(1), 607-678.

Shuell, T. J. (1996). Standardized testing: Bane or blessing? . *Phi Delta Kappan, 77*(9), 692-695.

Spring, J. (2000). Globalization and educational quality: An alternative view. . *Comparative Education Review, 44*(1), 1-20.

Stiggins, R. J. (2005). *Assessment for learning: A handbook for educators. .* Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

Stufflebeam, D. L. (2000). The clarification and improvement of educational evaluation models. . *Educational Researcher, 29*(7), 7-14. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X00290707

Wiggins, G. P., & McTighe, J. (2005). *Understanding by design (2nd ed.).* Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

Wiliam, D. (2011). *Embedded formative assessment. .* Solution Tree Press.

World Bank. (2018). *World Development Report 2018: Learning to Realize Education's Promise.* The World Bank. Retrieved from https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/29461